# Receivership Schools ONLY

### Quarterly Report #3: January 16, 2019 to April 30, 2019 (Due April 30, 2019)

| School Name                        | School BEDS Code             | District                                   | Lead Partner or EPO          | Hyperlink to where this report will be posted on the district website: |       |       | d on the district   |  |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|-------|-------|---------------------|--|
| Martin Luther<br>King, Jr. Academy | 662300-01-0046               | Yonkers City<br>Schools District           | N/A                          | Check which plan below applies:  |       |       |                     |  |
| king, st. Accounty                 |                              |  |                              | SIG  |       |       | SCEP                |  |
|                                    |                              |  |                              | Cohort (5, 6, or 7):   |       |       | -                   |  |
|                                    |                              |  |                              | Model:   |       |       |                     |  |
| Superintendent/EPO                 | School Principal             | Additional District S<br>Program Oversight | taff working on              | Grade<br>Configuration   | % ELL | % SWD | Total<br>Enrollment |  |
| Dr. Edwin Quezada                  | Ms. Natalie Davy             | Ms. Elaine Shine, I<br>School Improveme    | Executive Director of<br>ent | PreK-8   | 15.8% | 9.9%  | 542                 |  |
|                                    | Appointment Date:<br>8/15/13 |  |                              |  |       |       |                     |  |

#### **Executive Summary**

Please provide a <u>plain-language summary</u> of this quarter in terms of implementing key strategies, engaging the community, enacting Receivership, and assessing Level 1 and Level 2 indicator data. The summary should be written in terms easily understood by the community-at-large. Please avoid terms and acronyms that are unfamiliar to the public, and limit the summary to <u>no more than 500 words</u>.

Martin Luther King, Jr. Academy (MLKA) began its first full quarter as a 'School in Good Standing.' This designation was received in January 2019. The programs that continue to strengthen the academic success at Martin Luther King, Jr. Academy are the continuous grade level meetings for teachers, the morning and afternoon Extended Learning programs for grades Kindergarten through Eighth grade, the Schoolwide AIS programs for grades K through 8. We have continuously provided our growth in MAP Scores along with data for our subgroups (please refer to the indicators). All teachers and administrators understand the importance of MAP Testing three times a year, giving us the ability to change our AIS grouping as students experience academic growth. Our Title-I and Title-III staff members held a parent workshop which focused on 'Preparing Your Child for NYS Testing.' The PTA has increased its membership from last quarter by 50%; there are now 65 PTA members. During the first week in March, MLKA began its 'Saturday Success Academy.' This eight week-weekend program focuses on character development,



successful life skills, physical fitness, and academic success. There are 55 students who consistently attend this program which is conducted by MLKA teachers and the assistant principal. The Westchester County Food Bank continues to provide 300 bags of groceries to the MLKA families every month. The Police Athletic League (PAL) also provides fruits and vegetables from the Farmer's Market every other Monday. The organization also hosts MLKA's 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> grade recess on a daily basis. This interaction has created a strong bond between the police force and the youth in the community.

During Black History Month, the 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> grades hosted their third annual Black Wax Museum, which was open to the entire school and their families. They continued to showcase African-American Awareness from all professions.

Newer programs at MLKA such as 'SUMDOG,' an online Mathematics program designed to close the educational gap, has proven to be an excellent tool for the fifth and sixth grades. MLKA has been listed as the top group of students within the Yonkers Public School District to have answered the most math questions correctly. This past winter, according to 'SUMDOG's' statistics, MLKA was ranked number six in the nation. The district created a competition, 'Are You Smarter Than a Sixth Grader?' Martin Luther King, Jr. Academy ranked #13 out of 40 district schools. MLKA also administered the Winter MAP assessment in ELA/ Math for 2019. The results are included in this report.

In order to support our testing grades, MLKA held their annual 'Slam the Exam' and Spirit Week Pep Rally. We are pleased to report 98% student participation of the NYS ELA examination.

We continued to celebrate student achievement and role models as 66 students at MLKA made the district honor roll, which ranged from Honor Roll, High Honor Roll, and the Principal's List. These students also included our 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> grade Special Education students.

On April 3<sup>rd</sup>, MLKA began its first LEGO Robotics Program for 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> grades. There are 33 participants and five high school students from the Secondary Robotics program. Computer Adventures has supported MLKA's Robotics program last academic year.

Sixth Grade had a trip to the NYC Google Headquarters; students went on a tour, talked with employees, and were able to make the connection between their Robotics program from last academic year, to a career path.

MLKA Educators participated in two district focus groups; Bank Street Education Efficacy Initiative and the 6<sup>th</sup> Grade MSP Science Curriculum through Mercy College, which focused on the new NYS Standards for Science.



MLKA will continue to implement those systemic programs which helped the students and staff make demonstrable growth over the past six years. In short, those supports include AIS (school wide), Skill of the Week (Academic), Word of the Week, ELT a.m./p.m., and MAP Data Testing/ Review three times throughout the academic year.

This marking period, forty (40) seventh and eighth grade students made the Honor Roll based on receiving a cumulative average of 85 percent or higher. Student breakdown was as follows:

12 – High Honor Roll 26 – Honor Roll 2 – Principal's List

<u>Attention</u> – This document is intended to be completed by the school receiver and/or its designee and submitted electronically to <u>OISR@NYSED.gov</u>. It is a self-assessment of the implementation and outcomes of key strategies related to receivership, and as such, should <u>not</u> be considered a formal evaluation on the part of the New York State Education Department. This document also serves as the Progress Review Report for receivership schools receiving Persistently Struggling School (PSSG), School Improvement Grant (SIG), and Community School Grant (CSG) funds. Additionally, this document serves as the quarterly reporting instrument for receivership schools with School Comprehensive Education Plans (SCEP). The Quarterly Report, in its entirety, <u>must be posted</u> on the district web-site.

**Directions for Parts I and II** - District and school staff should respond to the sections of this document by both analyzing and summarizing the key strategies of the first quarter in light of their realized level of implementation and their impact on student learning outcomes. The district should ensure the key strategies address the needs of all learners, particularly the needs of subgroups of students and those at risk for not meeting the challenging state academic standards. District and school staff should consider the impact of proposed key strategies on student learning, as well as the long-term sustainability and connectivity of those key strategies to diagnostic review feedback.

### <u>Part I</u> – Demonstrable Improvement Indicators (Level 1)

| Identify Indicator | Baseline | 2018-19  | Status  | Based on        | the       | current     | What are the SCEP/SIG goals and or key   | List the formative data points being | Based upon those formative data |
|--------------------|----------|----------|---------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| # and Name         |          | Progress | (R/Y/G) | implementation  | n status, | does the    | strategies that have supported progress  | used to assess progress towards      | points, provide quantitative    |
|                    |          | Target   |         | school expect t | o meet t  | he 2018-19  | made in meeting this indicator?          | meeting the target for this          | and/or qualitative statement(s) |
|                    |          |          |         | progress target | for this  | indicator?  | Describe adjustments made to key         | indicator?                           | that demonstrate impact         |
|                    |          |          |         | For each Level  | 1 indica  | tor, please | strategies since the approval of the 18- |                                      | towards meeting the target.     |
|                    |          |          |         | answer yes or n | o below.  |             | 19 continuation plan and a rationale as  |                                      |                                 |
|                    |          |          |         |                 |           |             | to why these adjustments were made.      |                                      |                                 |
|                    |          |          |         |                 |           |             |  |                                      |                                 |
|                    |          |          |         |                 |           |             |  |                                      |                                 |
| 9.                 | 33%      | 39%      |         |                 | Yes       |             | We will continue to infuse academic      | After the administration of the      | (#9)The percentage of 3rd – 8th |
|                    |          |          |         |                 |           |             | vocabulary and build word knowledge.     | Winter 2019 MAP <u>Reading</u>       | grade students on or above      |



| Gr. 3-8/ ELA/ All |     |     |     | Teachers will continue to provide AIS                       | assessments all grade levels          | grade level as measured by MAP   |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| students 2+       |     |     |     | morning programs. We will continue to                       | showed increased growth from Fall     | reading assessments has          |
| above.            |     |     |     | offer Extended Learning Time (ELT) in                       | 2018 to Winter 2019. Their            | increased in RIT score by 2.2    |
|                   |     |     |     | the a.m./p.m. in grades K-8.                                | increases are listed by Mean RIT by   | points and greater from Fall     |
|                   |     |     |     |   | grade level:                          | 2018 to Winter 2019              |
|                   |     |     |     | Scholastic implementation of cross-                         |                                       |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     | curricular higher-order questioning                         | K : +5.5 increase                     |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     | techniques to continue to build rigor                       | 1 <sup>st</sup> Grade: +9.7 increase  |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     | throughout all subject areas.                               | 2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade: +8.4 increase  |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     |   | 3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade: +7.2 increase  |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     | Continue individualized goal-setting for                    | 4 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +6.4 increase  |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     | educational progress with all students.                     | 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +3.0 increase  |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     |   | 6 <sup>th</sup> Grade: + 3.5 increase |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     | Continue use of student writing                             | 7 <sup>th</sup> Grade: 2.2 increase   |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     | portfolios for grades 1-8. This includes a                  | 8 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +2.2 increase  |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     | monthly focus on specific writing                           |                                       |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     | standards.  |                                       |                                  |
| 15.               | 26% | 32% | Yes | Academic focus continues with                               | After the administration of the       | (#15) The percentage of 3rd –    |
|                   |     |     |     | mathematics on grade level along with                       | Winter 2019 MAP Math                  | 8th grade students on or above   |
| Gr. 3-8/ Math/    |     |     |     | academic vocabulary on grade level.                         | assessments all grade levels          | grade level as measured by MAP   |
| All students 2+   |     |     |     |   | showed increased growth from Fall     | math assessments has increased   |
| above.            |     |     |     | School-wide intervention for grades 3-8.                    | 2018 to Winter 2019. Their            | in RIT score by 1.3 points and   |
|                   |     |     |     | Multiplication proficiency and strategies                   | increases are listed by Mean RIT by   | greater from Fall 2018 to Winter |
|                   |     |     |     | to support all mathematic functions on                      | grade level:                          | 2019                             |
|                   |     |     |     | all grade levels. "Math Skill of the                        |                                       |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     | Week" for the 2018-19 academic year.                        | K: +7.3 increase                      |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     |   | 1 <sup>st</sup> Grade: +16.3 increase |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     | Use Khan Academy and Sumdog                                 | 2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade: +7.4 increase  |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     | computerized programs as                                    | 3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade: +6.8 increase  |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     | supplemental tools  | 4 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +9.4 increase  |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     |   | 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +4.2 increase  |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     | Instructional focus-scaffolding 8 <sup>th</sup> grade       | 6 <sup>th</sup> Grade: + 4.1 increase |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     | math from the 7 <sup>th</sup> grade curriculum              | 7 <sup>th</sup> Grade: 1.3 increase   |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     | taught last academic year.                                  | 8 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +1.7 increase  |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     | Using the District provided computer-                       | Please refer to the MLKA Grade        |                                  |
|                   |     |     |     | based program "SumDog," 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> | comparison chart for Fall 2018/       |                                  |



|   |        |        |     | grade students at MLKA are ranked as 6 <sup>th</sup> in the nation based on usage and number of correct responses.   | Winter 2019, along with grade level norms.   |  |
|---|--------|--------|-----|--|--|--|
| 33.<br>ELA/ All students<br>MGP.              | 45.09% | 47.09% | Yes | Continue to use MAP data to monitor<br>student progress. Continue ELA/AIS<br>periods daily.<br>Continue "ELA Skill of the Week", Word<br>of the Week, and G3 ELA (AIS)                           | After the administration of the<br>Winter 2019 MAP <u>Reading</u><br>assessments all grade levels<br>showed increased growth from Fall<br>2018 to Winter 2019. Their<br>increases are listed by Mean RIT by<br>grade level:<br>K : +5.5 increase<br>1 <sup>st</sup> Grade: +9.7 increase<br>2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade: +9.7 increase<br>3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade: +7.2 increase<br>4 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +7.2 increase<br>5 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +3.0 increase<br>6 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +3.5 increase<br>7 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +2.2 increase<br>8 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +2.2 increase | The percentage of 3rd – 8th<br>grade students on or above<br>grade level as measured by MAP<br>reading assessments has<br>increased in RIT score by 2.2<br>points and greater from Fall<br>2018 to Winter 2019 |
| 39.<br>Gr. 3-8/ Math/<br>All students<br>MGP. | 50.02% | 52.02% | Yes | Continue to use MAP data to monitor<br>student progress. New this year,<br>implementation of Math/AIS periods.<br>G3 Math (AIS)<br>Use of Sumdog, a math supplemental<br>tool used in grades K-8 | After the administration of the<br>Winter 2019 MAP <u>Math</u><br>assessments all grade levels<br>showed increased growth from Fall<br>2018 to Winter 2019. Their<br>increases are listed by Mean RIT by<br>grade level:   | Sumdog<br>Math grant for grades 5 & 6<br>providing professional<br>development for teachers;<br>MLKA hosted a teacher training<br>for the District.  |
|   |        |        |     | Grades 3-8 Multiplication focus  | K: +7.3 increase<br>1 <sup>st</sup> Grade: +16.3 increase<br>2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade: +7.4 increase  | See Indicator (Level 1) pgs. 3 & 4 for instructional data  |



|             |                 |                     |               |              |        |              | Academic Vocabulary for all subject                      | 3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade: +6  | 8 increase                                 |  |
|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------|--------|--------------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
|             |                 |                     |               |              |        |              | areas  | 4 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +9  | 4 increase                                 |  |
|             |                 |                     |               |              |        |              |  | 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +4  | 2 increase                                 |  |
|             |                 |                     |               |              |        |              |  | 6 <sup>th</sup> Grade: + 4 | .1 increase                                |  |
|             |                 |                     |               |              |        |              |  | 7 <sup>th</sup> Grade: 1.3 | increase                                   |  |
|             |                 |                     |               |              |        |              |  | 8 <sup>th</sup> Grade: +1  | 7 increase                                 |  |
|             |                 |                     |               |              |        |              |  |                            |  |  |
|             |                 |                     |               |              |        |              |  | Please refer t             | o the MLKA Grade                           |  |
|             |                 |                     |               |              |        |              |  | comparison c               | hart for Fall 2018/                        |  |
|             |                 |                     |               |              |        |              |  | Winter 2019,               | along with grade level                     |  |
|             |                 |                     |               |              |        |              |  | norms.                     |  |  |
|             |                 |                     |               |              |        |              |  |                            |  |  |
|             |                 |                     |               |              |        |              |  |                            |  |  |
|             |                 | hase of the project |               |              | Yellow |              | s to implementation / outcomes / spending exist; with    |                            |  | ation / outcomes / spending encountered;   |
| budget, and | the school is f | ully implementing   | this strategy | with impact. |        | adaptation/c | orrection school will be able to achieve desired results | · .                        | results are at-risk of not be<br>required. | ing realized; major strategy adjustment is |
|             |                 |                     |               |              |        |              |  |                            | required.                                  |  |

## <u>Part II</u> – Demonstrable Improvement Indicators (Level 2)

| Identify Indicator<br># and Name | Baseline | 2018-19<br>Progress<br>Target | Status<br>(R/Y/G) | Based on the current<br>implementation status, does the<br>school expect to meet the 2018-<br>19 progress target for this<br>indicator? For each Level 2<br>indicator, please answer yes or no<br>below. | What are the SCEP/SIG goals and or key<br>strategies which have supported<br>progress made in meeting this indicator?<br>Describe adjustments made to key<br>strategies since the approval of the 18-19<br>continuation plan and a rationale as to<br>why these adjustments were made.   | List the formative data points<br>being used to assess progress<br>towards meeting the target for<br>this indicator?   | Based upon those formative<br>data points, provide quantitative<br>and/or qualitative statement(s)<br>which demonstrate impact<br>towards meeting the target.  |
|----------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 4. Suspension                    | 35%      | 32%                           |                   | Yes  | The total number of students suspended<br>during this year is 81. The school-wide<br>implementation of restorative practices<br>extends to classrooms, recess,<br>administration, and with parental<br>conferences. Additionally, off-task<br>behaviors are addressed by working with<br>the Pupil Support Team, WJCS, PBIS, and<br>administration to work with families and | The MLKA suspension report was<br>run for January 31, 2019 through<br>April 30, 2019.<br>There were 81 suspensions for the<br>academic year. Please note, MLKA<br>has received 39 new students<br>from grades 5-8 this academic<br>year. These students were | Students, staff, and parents have<br>embraced restorative practices,<br>simply known around the school<br>as "meetings." Parents converse<br>and collaborate regarding<br>student behaviors, working<br>together to solve student issues<br>along with teachers and<br>administration. |



|                   |     |     |     | community mental health agencies to | transfers from other schools in       | As the off-task behaviors          |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
|                   |     |     |     | assist where medically necessary.   | Yonkers. These are the students       | subside, academic efficacy for     |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | being suspended.                      | students has increased; for the    |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     |                                       | first quarter, there were 18       |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | Pupil Support Team created            | students who made the honor        |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | strategies to support students who    | roll. These designations ranged    |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | struggle emotionally. Break           | from Honor Roll, High Honor        |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | passes were created to support        | Roll, and Principal's List.        |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | the de-escalation of violent off-     |                                    |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | task behavior modification,           | There has been an increase         |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | students are able to return and       | number of students not             |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | participate in classroom              | following the Code of Conduct      |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | instruction.                          | therefore an increase of           |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     |                                       | suspensions have occurred.         |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | MLKA has started a perfect            | Assemblies teaching the Code of    |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | attendance initiative in              | Conduct, notices to parents        |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | September. Eighteen (18) students     | expressing the importance of       |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | had perfect attendance this           | positive behavior, and             |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | quarter.                              | Restorative sessions with          |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     |                                       | students have taken place.         |
| 11. Gr. 3-8/ ELA/ | 23% | 29% | Yes |                                     | Fall 2018 to Winter 2019 MAP          | MAP scores have been               |
| Black students.   |     |     |     |                                     | Mean RIT Reading Scores:              | disaggregated by race (see         |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     |                                       | attached chart).                   |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | 3 <sup>rd</sup> : +8.6 point increase |                                    |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | 4 <sup>th</sup> : +8 point increase   | Black students will participate in |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | 5 <sup>th</sup> : +3.9 point increase | daily programs along with AIS      |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | 6 <sup>th</sup> : +4.9 point increase | support. All MAP scores are        |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | 7 <sup>th</sup> : +0.6 point increase | being monitored to support         |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | 8 <sup>th</sup> : +1.7 point increase | their growth.                      |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | In the process of administering       |                                    |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     | Winter 2019 MAP Assessments.          | Continued strategies for           |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     |                                       | improvement:                       |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     |                                       | MAP Data Analysis                  |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     |                                       | Academic                           |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     |                                       | Vocabulary/Interactive             |
|                   |     |     |     |                                     |                                       | Word Walls                         |



|                            |                  |                  |     |   |   | <ul> <li>Implementation of<br/>Cross-Curricular Higher<br/>Order Questioning</li> <li>G3 ELA</li> <li>Students have 90-minutes of<br/>math instruction and 90-minutes<br/>of ELA instruction.</li> </ul>           |
|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----|---|---|--|
| 86. Teacher 5<br>turnover. | 54%              | 49%              | Yes | During this quarter, there were no position changes at MLKA.      | Created a supportive environment<br>for educators to collaborate;<br>providing continuous professional<br>development.<br>MLKA continues its School Climate<br>Culture and Safety Committee<br>(SCCC) to monitor and enhance<br>the school climate.   | No teacher turnover<br>Teacher collaboration across<br>grade levels and strong SCCC  |
| 94. 200 hrs. ELT.          | N/A              | 200 hrs.         | Yes | ELT began on November 15, 2018.                                   | We have provided more than<br>1,000 hours of ELT this academic<br>year.<br>During the first marking period,<br>there were sixty-four (64) students<br>who were identified as Honor Roll<br>students. The designations ranged<br>from three categories; Honor Roll,<br>High Honor Roll, and Principal's<br>List. All identified students were<br>presented with certificates and a<br>small commemorative gift to mark<br>their success. | On November 15, 2018, MLKA<br>started its a.m. and p.m.<br>Extended Learning Academic<br>Program for grades K-8. At this<br>point, our enrollment for the<br>combined programs is 329 (a.m.<br>= 152; p.m. = 177). |
|                            | Make<br>progress | Make<br>progress | Yes | ELT, Dissaggragated data to subgroups, ongoing data analysis, AIS | MAP Fall and Winter Data  | Students in all subgroup have<br>shown progress on the Winter<br>MAP   |



#### <u>Part III</u> – Additional Key Strategies – (As applicable)

| <u>Key S</u>       | <b>trategies</b> Do not repeat strategies described in Parts I and II. If the school has selected the SIG 6 or SIG 7 Innovation Framework Every school must discuss the use of technology in the classrook |                   | clude an analysis of the evidence of the impact of the required lead partner.<br>• <b>instruction.</b>   |
|--------------------|--|-------------------|--|
| List the<br>SCEP). | e Key Strategy from your approved intervention plan (SIG or  | Status<br>(R/Y/G) | Analysis/Report Out  |
| 1.                 | Use of technology in the classroom to deliver instruction  |                   | Teachers use SmartBoards in the classroom, use of Sumdog, Class Dojo, Remind 101 and many other websites/apps; use<br>of laptop carts for student research projects in all grades; teachers share new apps during 8:05 meetings and provide<br>tech. assistance for peers<br>In order to fortify technological use in our STEAM academy, we have implemented district wide initiatives of Clever and<br>Office 365. Every student at MLKA has an email used for communication and daily use of Clever and the features it<br>provides. We are received new laptops to support technology, as indicated by the Technology Department. |
| 2.                 | EPO (lead partner) for SIG 6 and SIG 7 ONLY  |                   |  |
| 3.                 |  |                   |  |
| 4.                 |  |                   |  |
| 5.                 |  |                   |  |
| Green              | Expected results for this phase of the project are fully met, work is on budget, and the school is fully implementing this strategy <i>with impact</i> .   | Yellow            | Some barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending exist; with adaptation/correction school will be able to achieve desired results. Red encountered; results are at-risk of not being realized; major strategy adjustment is required.   |

#### Part IV – Community Engagement Team and Receivership Powers

#### Community Engagement Team (CET)

Describe the type, nature, frequency and outcomes of meetings conducted this quarter by the CET. Describe the same for sub-committees. Describe specific outcomes of the CET plan implementation; school support provided; and dissemination of information to whom and for what purpose. If the 18-19 CET plan and/or the 18-19 CET membership changed, please attach copies of those updated documents to this report.



| Status<br>(R/Y/G) | Analysis/Report Out  |            |   |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------|--|------------|---|---------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|                   | There have not been any changes to the CET Plan. All members have input at CET meetings. Completed Receivership Reports will be distributed to all staff and placed on the school website once the CET Committee has provided input. Meetings are held monthly. The most recent CET meeting was held on, April 30, 2019. Common google drive established to share minutes with all stakeholders. |            |   |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                   | f the Receiver   |            |   |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Describe the      | ne use of the school receiver's powers (pursuant to C  | R §100.19) | during this reporting period. Discuss the goal of each powe   | r and its exp | pected impact.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status            | Analysis/Report Out  |            |   |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (R/Y/G)           |  |            |   |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                   | The Receiver has not used his powers this reportin   | ng period. |   |               |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green             | Expected results for this phase of the project are fully met, work is on budget, and the school is fully implementing this strategy <u>with impact</u> .   | Yellow     | Some barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending exist; with adaptation/correction school will be able to achieve desired results. | Red           | Major barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending<br>encountered; results are at-risk of not being realized; major<br>strategy adjustment is required. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Part V – Community Schools Grant (CSG)

(This section needs to be completed by every receivership school receiving CSG funds during the 8/1/17 – 6/30/19 budget period.)

| Community Schools Grant (CSG)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| As per CR §100.19, receivership schools receiving CSG funds will submit quarterly written reports to the Commissioner containing specific information about the progress of the planning, implementation, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and operations of the CSG and the requirements of the regulations.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Required Activities   | Provide updates to each activity with regard to its planning, implementation, or operations. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community-Wide Needs Assessment (if one is being conducted in 18-19)  | Parents, teachers and students will participate in a climate survey.                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| provide spe<br>1. pu<br>pro<br>du | substantial parent, teacher, and community engagemene<br>ecific details about these three areas for this reporting p<br>ublic meetings held with parents, teachers, and communi-<br>ovide information and solicit input (CR §100.19: held a<br>uring the school year) | eriod:<br>nity members to<br>it least quarterly |   |             |   |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|-------------|---|--|--|
| sch                               | ritten notices and communications provided to parents,<br>hool personnel, and community members (emails, pos<br>to recipients' native language)   |   | All written notices are disseminated to families in<br>of the school menu are posted in English and Spar  | •           | sh and Spanish. All posted materials with the exception   |  |  |
|                                   | arents, teachers, and community members' access to Co<br>te Coordinator and Steering Committee  | ommunity School                                 | Stakeholders have access through emails, on site r  | meetings, p | bhone   |  |  |
| Steering Co                       | ommittee (challenges, meetings held, accomplishments)   |   | Not applicable.   |             |   |  |  |
| Feeder Scho                       | ool Services (specific services offered and impact)   |   | Not applicable.   |             |   |  |  |
| Community                         | y School Site Coordinator (accomplishments and challen  | ges)  | Introduction of new partners<br>United States Tennis Association is a new partner<br>5 <sup>th</sup> grades. We have expanded the tennis program                    | -           | tion with Yonkers Tennis offered at no cost for 4 <sup>th</sup> and grade classes.  |  |  |
|                                   |   |   | The 2nd grade continues to play chess at recess. There are two chess masters who support chess during recess. All chess materials were provided at no cost to MLKA. |             |   |  |  |
|                                   |   |   | Now meeting with a potential vision partner   |             |   |  |  |
| •                                 | atic Costs (accomplishments and challenges based on th<br>n the Attachment C school plan)   | e approved                                      | All programs are moving forward   |             |   |  |  |
|                                   | t Project(s) (accomplishments and challenges based on n<br>n the Attachment C school plan)  | the approved                                    | Capital Cost projects waiting for permit approval   |             |   |  |  |
| Green                             | Expected results for this phase of the project are fully met,<br>work is on budget, and the school is fully implementing this<br>strategy <u>with impact</u> .  |   | rriers to implementation / outcomes / spending exist; with<br>on/correction school will be able to achieve desired results.   | Red         | Major barriers to implementation / outcomes / spending encountered; results are at-risk of not being realized; major strategy adjustment is required. |  |  |



#### <u>Part VI</u> – Budget

(This section should be completed by all schools funded by the Persistently Struggling Schools Grant (PSSG), the School Improvement Grant (SIG), and the Community Schools Grant (CSG). Add rows as needed.)

| Budget Analysis     |               |   |  |  |
|---------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| Identify the grant. | Status(R/Y/G) | If expenditures from the approved <b>2017-19</b> (PSSG, CSG) or 2018-19 (SIG 1003(g) FS-10 are on target, describe their impact. If there are challenges describe the course correction to be put in place for Quarter 2. |  |  |
| PSSG:               |               |   |  |  |
| SIG:                |               |   |  |  |
| CSG:                |               | On target – no challenges; chess partner, ELT, PD   |  |  |

### <u>Part VII</u>: Best Practices (Optional)

| The I   | <b>Best Practices</b><br>The New York State Education Department recognizes the importance of sharing best practices within schools and districts. Please take this opportunity to share one or more best practices currently being implemented in the school. It is the intention of the Department to share these best practices with schools and districts in receivership. |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| List the best practice currently being implemented in the school. |  | Describe the significant improvements in student performance, instructional practice, student/family engagement, and/or school climate that the best practice has had. Discuss the analysis of data/evidence to determine the impact. Describe the possibility of replication in other schools. |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |



| 2. |  |
|----|--|
| 3. |  |

#### Part VIII – Assurance and Attestation

By signing below, I attest to the fact that the information in this quarterly report is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge; and that the all requirements with regard to public hearings and the community engagement teams, as per CR§ 100.19 have been met.

Name of Receiver (Print): <u>Dr. Edwin M. Quezada</u> Signature of Receiver: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

By signing below, I attest to the fact that the community engagement team has had the opportunity to provide input into this quarterly report, and the opportunity to review, and update if necessary, its 2018-2019 community engagement team plan and membership.

Name of CET Representative (Print): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Signature of CET Representative: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: